



# THE IMPACT OF COVID 19 ON ACTIVITIES OF BANKS

*Kamelia Assenova<sup>1</sup>*

**Abstract:** *The COVID-19 pandemic is causing unpredictable disruption in the global economy. The pandemic affects every sector of economy. As a result of this virus, the world economy is weakening, and this is also happening in Bulgaria.*

*The banking sector is an important component of every economy. The banks have a fundamental role as provider of payment services, liquidity and funding to the business and individuals in the time of COVID-19 crisis.*

*This paper aims to examine the impact of COVID-19 on bank liabilities and assets during the crisis and their role for the recovery of the economy. During the COVID19 crises the business reduces its deposits, because it does not have enough purchases and receivables. The firms need the usage of lending to cover inequality between in- and outflows, decide their liquidity problems and ensure the working capital for restarting of business. The risk during the COVID-19 crisis rises and the quality of credit portfolio will deteriorate, by increasing non-performing loans.*

**Keywords:** *COVID 19, economic cycle, banks, credit.*

**JEL:** *G21, E32, E51.*

---

<sup>1</sup> Kamelia Assenova, Associate Prof. Dr, UNWE, Bulgaria, E:mail& [kameliaa@unwe.bg](mailto:kameliaa@unwe.bg), [www.unwe.bg](http://www.unwe.bg), September, 21, 2022



## **1. Introduction**

The COVID-19 pandemic is causing unpredictable disruption in the global economy. The pandemic affects every sector of the economy.

The main goal of economic policy after the pandemic of COVID-19 will aim at the recovery of the economy. The banking sector will play a key role in these activities.

The banks have a fundamental role as provider of payment services, liquidity and funding to businesses and individuals in the time of COVID-19 crisis. On the one hand, during the COVID-19 crisis most of the governments designed schemes for recovery, based on easy access to the credit for businesses and households, guaranteed by the state and low interest. It has made it possible to pass more easily through the crisis. On the other hand, the banking sector will play an important role in the recovery of the economy.

This is true for a small and open economy as the Bulgarian.

## **2. Problem Statement**

### **2.1 Theoretical approach**

The banks play an important role for the majority of businesses in Bulgaria. For this, banks have to dispose of liquidity resources. Mainly they come from deposits and credit lines from other parents 'banks abroad.

Most of these funds go to businesses though credit. The credit transmission channel includes bank's and balance sheet types. The bank credit channel is based on the understanding that banks play a special role in the financial system. The large companies can attract



resources by selling commercial papers and other forms of credit thus increasing their debt. Small and medium-sized businesses have limited access to financial markets. They are not able to attract funds from there. The gap between large and small firms becomes larger mainly during a recession. Less access to other forms of credit markets than to banks makes small businesses extremely dependent on the actions of banks.

The balance sheet channel focuses on the potential impact of changes in monetary policy on borrowers' balance sheets and their incomes, including their net wealth, liquid assets and cashflows. The firms often finance investments and current expenses by borrowing, and sometimes by increasing their loans earlier during the economic cycle. The households also reduce their incoming cash flow due to the close-down of essential businesses and unemployment. However, their outgoing cash flow does not fall at the same pace as the in-coming cash flow. The consumers also want to borrow at a time when their income is declining and when credit conditions are poor, which leads to an increase in the volume of credit.

## **2.2 Literature review – COVID-19 and its impact on the economy**

Lots of resources in the literature examine the role of policy initiatives during financial crisis (Claessens et al, 2005; Reinhart & Rogoff, 2009; Taylor & Williams, 2009). Ait-Shalia et al. (2012) examine the effects of policy measures on liquidity risk premia and interbank credit during global financial crises. Asli Demirguc-Kunt et al. (2020) study the market response of banks to policy initiatives and differences between the COVID-19 shock versus the previous events of financial and economic stress. Since the shock is truly exogenous to the financial sector, the irresistible reaction from policy makers has been to decrease regulatory requirements and use capital buffers.



### **3. Aims of the Research**

All countries in the world have taken measures, which, if implemented successfully, are aimed at minimizing losses during the COVID-19 crisis and lead to the recovery of economies afterwards. The impact of COVID-19 on the banking sector depends on:

- deterioration of the financial situation in the firms. It is due to the restrictions on activity, as a result of the dramatic fall in consumption, especially services, and in net exports. At the same time, prices changed on commodity markets, mainly those of raw materials. This led to a decrease of incoming cash flow and a delay of local and foreign payments.
- deterioration of the financial situation for the households. They lost part of their incomes due to unemployment or earned reduced wages. All measures of banks were aimed the reducing the negative impact of COVID-19 on the financial conditions in the short term and at supporting the flow of credit to firms and households.

The recovery of the economy also will require active participation of banks. The firms will need working capital to restart the business. At the same time, business models will change after the pandemic and they will need new investments. The changes in businesses will improve the financial situation of households, too. The instruments used for recovery could be monetary and fiscal. This paper aims to examine the impact of COVID-19 on bank liabilities and assets during the crisis and their role in the recovery of the economy.

### **4. Research Methods**

The achievement of key targets and accomplishment of the main aim of the study are done by systematic theoretical - empirical approach. In particular, this approach is realized as follows:



- Induction and deduction in the research of the facts characterizing bank activities before and during the pandemic of COVID-19;
- Empirical study of the situation in the banking sector before and during the COVID-19 crisis;
- Comparative analysis of all main variables before and during the pandemic of COVID-19.

The chosen instruments for achieving the main objectives of the study use extensive statistical data. The data are illustrated by means of graphics and text application.

The conclusions of the research are based on the results of calculation of the main variables before and during the pandemic and on feedback analysis.

## **5. Findings**

The banks are being called to play an important countercyclical role to support the real sector. These actions also have a series of implications for the future resilience of the banking sector. It requires investigating the situation in the banking sector before and during the COVID-19 pandemic.


### **5.1 Bank liabilities and assets before the pandemic**

The dynamic of deposits for the investigated period depends on the current account balances and term deposits. The corporate deposits during the whole period changed, following positive trend. This is a result of continued and sustainable development of the economy in Bulgaria, and of the economic growth achieved before the crises. But most of the resources attracted by banks come from households. The deposits from individuals increased during the period 2015-2019 by 73%, from businesses – by 59%, and the total increase was by 68%. During the period 2015-2019, it can be noticed that the wealth in the



economy increased. According to the theory of demand of assets, it will increase investments in different ways, including deposits. From the bank’s point of view, the level of deposits in the banking system ensures sustainable disposable resources for the active operations. The changes of business and household deposits are following:

*Figure 1. Business and households deposits for the period 2015-2019 (thousand BGN)*



*Source: Bulgarian National Bank*


Before the health crisis, the overall economic and financial stability in Bulgaria led to a strong increase of lending (Assenova, 2019).

The crisis in the second half of the 1990s led to the suspension of any financial intermediation for some time. The policy followed, aimed at reducing inflation, increasing GDP and rapidly growing incomes and profits, it exacerbated the need for credit, and changed banks ‘views on borrowers’ requirements. The entry of foreign banks into the market, as



well as the strengthening of commercial banks' audit in the sector, led to return the confidence of the public in banks, and hence to an increase in deposits. On the other hand, improved economic conditions predetermined the growth of foreign cash flows, mainly coming from foreign banks in Bulgaria. All factors led to a strong need to find ways to invest the accumulated funds to generate income (Assenova, 2009, 2018). The business loans increased during the investigated period 2015-2019. This is shown below:

**Figure 2. Business and households loans for the period 2015-2019 (thousand BGN)**



Source: Bulgarian National Bank

## 5.2 Banks 'liabilities and assets after the pandemic

After the onset of the health crisis in China, before the coronavirus crisis became evident, GDP sharply declined during the first stage of the pandemic (Farboodi, Jarosch, Shimer, 2020; Alfani, G., 2020). It made it difficult for the supply chain to function in other parts of world.



As it is known, the world economy depends on China for delivery. As a result, supply in other parts of world declined. In the second stage, after the lockdown in more countries, many sectors of economy were closed and this additionally reduced GDP in those countries. The Bulgarian economy, a small and open economy, is very sensitive to outside conditions. The lockdown in the many countries in the world influenced the Bulgarian net exports, orientated mainly to the countries of the EU. Their GDP declined and it directly reduced the Bulgarian GDP. During the pandemic, investments in Bulgaria collapsed due to expected recession all over the world. The third part of the GDP – consumption – also fell. More consumers were temporarily unemployed or unemployed, and the incomes were reduced due to the uncertain situation. All efforts forced a sharp reduction in consumption. The last part of the GDP – public spending – does not compensate the loss from the other three components of GDP.

The Bulgarian National Bank introduced several measures, including following:

- “temporary moratorium on bank loans repayment, following the EBA Guidelines on legislative and non-legislative moratoria on loan repayments applied in the light of the COVID-19 crisis (EBA/GL/2020/02)
- The state Fund Manager for Financial Instruments in Bulgaria announced a new package of measures to support various business and public groups through financial instruments. The Fund will provide bank guarantees in the amount of BGN 170 million (EUR 87 million), which should serve to secure a loan portfolio of up to BGN 850 million. Loans under the scheme will have a term of up to 10 years and the possibility of interest-free loans.”<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup>European Commission, Directorate General Economic and Financial Affairs(2020). Policy measures taken against the spread and impact of the coronavirus – 17 July 2020.





The bank activities are developed under these conditions. The changes of deposits during the period of lockdown are insignificant.

*Table 1. Changes of Deposits after pandemic of COVID 19*


<b>Period</b>	<b>Δ Business Deposits %</b>	<b>Δ Households Deposits %</b>
12/2019-3/2020	Minus 2.56	0.85
3/2020-6/2020	0.74	1.87
6/2020-9/2020	9.70	1.71
9/2020-12/2020	2.63	4.97

*Source: BNB and own calculation*

Business deposits decreased only during the first lockdown and after it they recovered to reach the pre-crisis level. Nevertheless, local consumption remained at the same level, and the external market went down, with more sectors continuing the work, excluding services – transport, tourism. Households kept their saving for the prudential motive. During crises, the situation is unpredictable and they keep savings for more bad days ahead. From the bank’s point of view, they stayed on a sustainable liability position. Because the Bulgarian National Bank works as per requirements of the Currency Board, it lost some of the monetary instruments as creditor of last resort and open market operations. For these reasons, the Bulgarian government delivered additional liquidity resources through state Bulgarian Bank of Development. This financing for the banking system was enough to ensure the measures taken by the government for supporting businesses and households.



**Figure 3. Business and households deposits for the period January 2020 - December 2020 (thousand BGN)**



*Source: Bulgarian National Bank*

On the other hand, businesses that have to hire workers, needed to start operating again and to see the demand for their products and services rebound quickly, if indeed they had survived the downturn. The new stimulus package from the Government perhaps catalyses the change of the credit cycle. The companies may redirect payment installments for later periods after lockdown and take new loans to cover differences between in- and out- flows. They could take credit for the recovery the business (Beck, 2020).




**Table 2. Changes in Credit after COVID-19 pandemic**

Period	Δ Business Loans %	Δ Households Loans %
12/2019-3/2020	0.74	1.89
3/2020-6/2020	Minus 1.04	0.81
6/2020-9/2020	1.06	2.36
9/2020-12/2020	2.33	1.40

*Source: BNB and own calculation*

The credit cycle went into a new stage after the lockdown. The answer of businesses after the lockdown took place later, in the second quarter of 2020 and after it the firms recovered through credit. The loans for households remained at similar levels to those before the pandemic.

**Figure 4. Business and households loans for the period January 2020 - December 2020(thousand BGN)**



*Source: Bulgarian National Bank*



The credit cycle went into a new stage after the lockdown. During this period, the factors affecting demand were:

- Income fell or remained at the same level;
- Unemployment;
- Companies reduced their investments due to the stage of market;
- Negative trend in Bulgarian net export;
- Financing negative cash flows.

The factors influencing the supply were:

- Reducing part of business deposits by banks during the crisis;
- Increasing risk in the economy;
- Economic activity froze for several months. The return on projects was reduced. Therefore, companies financed their negative cash flows.

The interest rate of bank loans was highly volatile in the beginning of 2020. After the COVID-19 pandemic, the rates decreased sharply.

In the short term, banks saved some cost during the pandemic, such as: lower interest on deposits, lower operation cost, lower HR cost. At the same time, they increased their cost for usage of IT technology.

The banks received lending assistance from the government, including instruments facilitating supply of funds to firms and households due to the loss of revenue or income during the lockdown. The banks improved liabilities though the Bulgarian Bank for Development. Moreover, the government directly financed the working capital of firms, provided direct funding to strategic sectors and ensured state support for interest-free loans. The measures to provide liquidity or financing delivered to businesses and households did not bring the expected results.

Due to the moratorium on repayment and to keep the profit and stock prices at the same level, banks increased the fees and commissions for all services and this had a negative impact on business activities and households' expenses for financial services.

The banks' activity during the pandemic could stimulate changes in their functioning in the long term as following:



- new business models;
- ongoing development of on-line banking;
- expansion of non-cash trade;
- strengthening and further development of remote communication with customers;
- reduction of the number of branches of every bank;
- reduction in the operating cost.

## **6. Conclusions**

The COVID-19 pandemic has dramatically affected almost every aspect of the economy. The credit cycle transformed into a stressed one. This paper seeks to analyse the accumulated deposits and access to credit prior to the unexpected global health crisis and its immediate and extended impact, as well as the performance of several key indicators of deposits and credits.

The Bulgarian economy, as a small and open economy, is very sensitive to outside conditions. During the COVID-19 pandemic, the national economy depended on them. They affected all sectors. The new stimulus package of the Government perhaps catalysed the change in the credit conditions. The deposits in banking system did not change sharply during the lockdown. The credit cycle during the pandemic went into new stage, with reduced loans for businesses for two months in the second quarter of 2020 when the COVID-19 pandemic started. The economic activities slowly recovered and household credits covered the liquidity gap and supported the recovery of the economy.

## **References**

- [1] Daron, A., Chernozhukov, V., Werning, I., Whinston, M. D. (May 2020). Optimal Targeted Lockdowns in a Multi-Group SIR Model, available: <http://economics.mit.edu/files/19698>.



- [2] Acharya, V.& Steffen, S. (2020).Stress Tests for Banks as Liquidity Insurers in a Time of COVID, Vox, March, 22.
- [3] Ait-Sahalia, Y., Andritzky, J., Jobst, A., Nowak, S. & Tamirisa, N. (2012). Market response to policy initiatives during the global financial crisis. Journal of International Economics, 87, pp. 162-177.
- [4] Alfani, G. (2020).Pandemics and Asymmetric Shocks, Vox, CEPR, April 9.
- [5] Alvarez, E. Fernando, David Argente, Francesco Lippi (April 2020), A Simple Planning Problem for COVID – 19 Lockdown, NBER, Working paper 26981.
- [6] Demircug-Kunt,A., Pedraza, A., Ruiz-Ortega,C. (2020). Banking Sector Performance During the COVID-19 Crisis, [https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=3689789](https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3689789).
- [7] Assenova, K. (2009). Lending in Bulgaria - how and how many, University Publishing House "Stopanstvo", Sofia.
- [8] Assenova, K. (2018). Credit cycle in Bulgaria, Journal Transitional studies Review, vol. 25, n 1, pp. 13-24.
- [9] Assenova, K. (2019).Easy Birds or Sluggish Bears – Credit Boom or Restriction? Credit cycle – evidence from Central and Eastern Europe, Journal Global policy and Governance, (8), pp. 45-53.
- [10] Baldwin, R. (2020).The Supply Side Matters: Guns versus Butter, COVID- style, Vox, CEPR, March 22.
- [11] Batini, N.,Cantelmo, A., Giovanni, M.& Villa, S. (2020).How Loose, How Tight? A Measure of Monetary and Fiscal Stance for the Euro Area, IMF Working Paper No. 20/86, June.
- [12] Beck, T. (2020). Finance in the times of coronavirus. A VoxEU.org Book, Centre for Economic Policy Research, London. Retrieved from <https://voxeu.org/pages/covid-19-page>.
- [13] Blanchard, O. &Pisani-Ferry, and J. (2020). Monetisation: Do Not Panic, Vox, CEPR, April 10.
- [14] BNB.Reports(2015-2020).Sofia. Retrieved from [www.bnb.bg](http://www.bnb.bg).
- [15] Breitenfellner, A. &Ramskogler, P. (2020). How Deep Will It Fall? Comparing the Euro Area Recessions of 2020 and 2009.SUERF Policy Notes, N.150.
- [16] Claessens, S., Klingebiel, D. &Laeven, L. (2005). Resolution, policies, and institutions: empirical evidence. In Systemic Financial Distress: Containment and Resolution. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.



- [17] Danielsson, J., Macrae, R., Vayanos, D. & Zingrand, J.P. (2020) The Coronavirus Crisis is no 2008, Vox, CEPR, March 26.
- [18] Eichenbaum Martin, S. Sergio Rebelo, Mathias Trabandt (March 2020). The Macroeconomics of Epidemics, NBER, Working paper 26882.
- [19] European Commission, Directorate General Economic And Financial Affairs(2020). Policy measures taken against the spread and impact of the coronavirus – 17 July 2020.
- [20] Farboodi, Maryam, Gregor Jarosch, Robert Shimer (April 2020). Internal and External Effects of Social Distancing in a Pandemic, NBER, Working paper 27059.
- [21] Fornaro, L. & Wolf, M. (2020). Coronavirus and Macroeconomic Policy, Vox, CEPR, March 10.
- [22] Meltzer, M.I. & Cox, N.J. (1999). The economic impact of pandemic influenza in the United States: priorities for intervention. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, 5(5), pp. 659-671.
- [23] National Statistic, 2005-2020, Sofia. Retrieved from [www.nsi.bg](http://www.nsi.bg).
- [24] Reinhart, C. M. & Rogoff, K. S. (2009). *This time is different: Eight centuries of financial folly*. Princeton University Press.
- [25] Schoenbaum, S.C. (1987). Economic impact of influenza. The individual's perspective. *American Journal of Medicine*, 82(6A), pp. 26-30.
- [26] Taylor, J. & Williams, J. (2009). A black swan in the money market. *American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics*, 1(1), pp. 58-83.